

# Sustainable Consumption Practices of University Students in Selangor, Malaysia

Shahariah Asmuni, Jamaliah Mohd. Khalili, Zahariah Mohd. Zain

Faculty of Business Management, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia

shaha154@salam.uitm.edu.my

### **Abstract**

This paper analyzes conservation behaviour of university students. Data is gathered in 2010 from 248 full-time UiTM Shah Alam students of different ßelds of study using surwey questionnaire. The study analyses conservation behaviour of university students in relation to specißc socio-demographic characteristics. Specifically, analysis includes investigation into the relationship of gender, urbanrural strata of student's background, parents' highest education lewel and ßelds of study with conservation behaviour around the campus area and in their lifestyle. Results show signißcant relationship between conservation behaviour of university students with rural background and parents with school education as their highest education level.

Keywords: conservation behavior; university students; strata; parents' education

eISSN 2514-7528 © 2017 The Author. Published for AMER ABRA by e-International Publishing House, Ltd., UK. This is an open-access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of AMER (Association of Malaysian Environment-Behaviour Researchers), ABRA (Association of Behavioural Researchers on Asians) and cE-Bs (Centre for Environment-Behaviour Studies), Faculty of Architecture, Planning & Surveying, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia. https://doi.org/10.21834/jabs.v2i5.222

#### 1.0 Introduction

Environmental problems are the result of industrial production and consumption pattern and behavior of consumers (Aini *et al.*, 2003). Malaysia faces environmental problems similar to other developing economies and while the economy has progressed, the increase in incomes has yet to be reflected in the betterment of environmental conditions in the country. Studies show that people are generally very concerned about the environment. However, to attain sustainability in the environment, it is the commitment rather than the concern or short term actions that are important markers for long term social change.

This paper analyzes conservation behaviour of a group of young adults, namely university students. The emphasis on students is relevant as they will grow and develop to become future leaders responsible for 'environmental stewardship and sustainability' (Wray-Lake *et al.*, 2008). Also, the development of stance, obligation and ability to sustain and look after the environment starts at an early age (Aini *et al.*, 2003).

#### 2.0 Literature Review

In the literature of conservation behaviour, recycling dominates empirical work. Guerin *et al.* (2001) for instance, analyzes the determinants of recycling behaviour using multilevel analysis and discovered that households tend to separate waste more when there are more participation by activists in national environmental organizations. Similarly, for local studies, environmental behavior studies focus on recycling and waste management behaviour. An example is Omran *et al.*, (2009) on the attitude of recycling of solid wastes in Alor Setar town. There are relatively few studies that include the analysis of purchasing behaviour and other conservation efforts (Vining & Ebreo, 2002).

People who are concerned with the deteriorating environment do make certain adjustments in their life style and behaviour' (Atiqul Haq et al., 2010). In a recent study by Aini et al. (2007), it was found that students are generally aware of but only moderately concerned with environmental issues. Only IO% of the students were able to define environment and the adoption of the principles of sustainable consumption in their daily lives was modest. Furthermore the findings showed that environmental education had raised environmental consciousness of students but was ineffective in changing the actions and behaviour patterns of the students. The students who participated in the study were upper secondary school students aged 15-17 years old, and had already undergone formal environmental education at both primary and secondary school education levels. In view of this, the current study is undertaken to investigate the levels of environmental knowledge and involvement of university students in sustainable consumption practices. Saahin et al. (2010) had examined the environmental attitudes of middle school students and the results shoed that attitudes of 6<sup>th</sup>, 7<sup>th</sup>, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade students towards the environment were generally positive and statistically significantly related to school, class, education level of father, education level of mother and monthly income status.

In a study involving university students in the United States, Emanuel et al. (2010) found that sustainable programs and practices are being implemented on a number of college

campuses in Alabama and Hawaii. He found that the students were concerned about wasteful consumption and pollution. However, a large number of students surveyed expressed concern for and willingness to participate in sustainable practices, and there seems to be a "commitment gap" among students. Bun Lee (2008) examined the environmental attitudes of African American college students and found that, similar to Emanuel *et al.* (2010), the students were also modestly pro- environmental. In terms of behaviour, it was found that students who had pro-environmental attitudes tended to recycle more. However the majority of students did not recycle regularly. More than half of the students said that they tried to conserve electricity and 75% of respondents said that they never or rarely used public transportation or carpooled. The respondents also did not purchase environmentally friendly products. The study demonstrated a low correlation between environmental attitudes and behaviours of American college students.

This study would like to further probe into finding out if there is significance in difference in conservation behavior of university students with regard to gender, parents education level, urban-rural background and fields of study.

# 3.0 Methodology

The study took place during the month of September in 2010. Data was gathered using survey questionnaire on approximately 300 full-time undergraduate UiTM Shah Alam students representing three major clusters of study available in the university. Respondents were chosen at random and were asked to fill in the questionnaire with guidance from trained enumerators. Prior to the survey, a pre-test was conducted to assess the validity of the questionnaire.

#### The Questionnaire

The questionnaire is divided into two parts. One is looking at behavior of the students in their daily life that may relate to conservation practices. From a 27 item statements on conservation behavior used in the pilot study, I5 were used for the final survey. Statements relate to respondent+s behavior and decisions in consuming goods and services, such as in dealing with waste products and in incorporating conservation practices in making purchases and their daily routines. Most of these statements are posed as positive statements and they take responses in the form of seven-point Likert scale answers ranging from 1 = "strongly disagree" to 7 = "strongly agree."

In another section of the questionnaire, questions seek to find out socio-economic and demographic background of respondents. Personal information requested includes age, gender, race of respondent and study information such as faculty and program the respondent is in and how long the students have been enrolled in the program. Family information is also asked which includes urban-rural status, state of origin of respondent, gross income of parents and occupation and highest education level of each parent. This study aims at finding out if students residing and learning in an urban surrounding such as in UiTM Shah Alam are practicing conservation behavior in their daily student life. This finding may

provide policy4 makers insight into the behavior of the urban and educated young adults in maintaining their daily life as to whether their actions are sustainable or not. Also will be investigated is the possible relationship of some socio- economic and demographic information of respondents with their behavior or decisions in using and buying goods.

# **Research Questions and Method of Analysis**

The primary research questions of this study are as follows:

RQ1: Is there any significant difference between gender and conservation behavior?

;Q>: /s there any significant difference between strata 
Curban and rural background) and conservation behavior?

;Q3: /s there any significant difference between parents+ education level and conservation behavior?

;QX: /s there any significant difference between different faculties Cstudents+ field of study) and their conservation behavior?

RQ5: What is the level of conservation behavior exhibited by university students?

Analysis of study takes the form of independent sample t-test and ANOVA. Independent sample t-tests were performed to measure the differences in conservation behavior between gender, strata, parents' highest education level and fields of study represented by faculties. ANOVA is performed to test whether significant differences in means e`ist between the different fields of study of respondents, represented by faculties.

# 4.0 Results and Discussion

From the 300 survey questionnaire collected for study, only 248 are used after those with missing values were dropped from further analysis. From the total of 248 respondents, 29.2 percent are male and the rest female. The students are of the age between 18 to 19 years old. Survey is conducted in the urban university setting of Shah Alam area. However, in terms of student's strata background, 61 percent of them come from urban areas in Malaysia while the rest are from rural areas. In terms of parents' education, it can be summed up as having school education at most (meaning either attending primary or secondary education) or having tertiary education (meaning either having certificates, diploma or degrees at any level). Fifty4 six percent of parents of respondents have only school education, with the remaining having tertiary education.

Fifteen items were employed in measuring the conservation behavior of university students in a public university and the Cronbach's alpha is 0.73. This value shows that the fifteen items used for study are reliable based on minimum alpha value of 0.70 (Nunnally, 1978). The items representing conservation behavior are listed in Table 1.

Results for independent sample t-tests vary for different socio- demographic variables tested (Table 2). In terms of relationship between 77 conservation behavior and urban-rural strata of respondent's hometown, it is found that there is significant difference between the two, with students from rural background showing more of conservation

behavior than those coming from urban background. Meanwhile, result of study shows that there is no significant difference in conservation behavior between male and female students as well as between parents' highest education level.

Table 1. List of Items Representing Conservation Behavior used for Study

| No | Items  |  |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1  | I do not mind paying high price for a good as long as it last long               |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | I turn off fans & lights in empty classrooms whenever I see them switched on     |  |  |  |  |
| 3  | I buy refillable detergents or soaps and refill the bottles when empty           |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | I make use of both sides of papers when writing or printing whenever possible    |  |  |  |  |
| 5  | I turn off the tap whenever I brush the teeth                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 6  | I reuse grocery bags   |  |  |  |  |
| 7  | I prefer to have food bought wrapped in paper than in polystyrene                |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | I purchase energy-savings appliances whenever possible                           |  |  |  |  |
| 9  | I throw rubbish according to types in the designated recycle bins whenever I can |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | I like to donate my unused items   |  |  |  |  |
| 11 | I reuse gift wrappers for rewrapping or other uses                               |  |  |  |  |
| 12 | When buying I look for items with less packaging                                 |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | I find bringing my own grocery bag when shopping inconvenient                    |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | I use disposables often as it is convenient                                      |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | I bring my own water tumbler to class  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2. Independent Sample T-test of Conservation Behavior by Gender, Strata
(Hometown) and Parents' highest Education Levels

| Conservation behavior items      | Mean                                 | Significance<br>level |  |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Gender                           | Male = 4.7914<br>Female = 4.7506     | 0.698                 |  |
| Urban-rural strata (hometown)    | Urban = 4.6463<br>Rural = 4.8776     | 0.024                 |  |
| Parents'highest education levels | School = 4.7886<br>Tertiary = 4.6747 | 0.123                 |  |

It is interesting to note that the most significant conservation behavior items are consistent in the sense that these items show conservation behavior to be higher among

respondents from rural background (Table 3). These behavior items are energy conservation (turn off electricity not in use and purchase of energy saving appliances), water conservation (turn off taps not in use) and some waste recycling behavior (use of designated recycle bins and some reuse activities).

Table 3. Independent Samples Test and Group Statistics of selected Conservation

Behavior Items for Strata

| Socio-demographic characteristics  | Mean<br>Urban | Rural | Significance leve |
|--|---------------|-------|-------------------|
| turn off fans & lights in empty classrooms whenever<br>I see them switched on    | 5.13          | 5.60  | 0.017             |
| I turn off the tap whenever I brush the teeth                                    | 4.86          | 5.38  | 0.022             |
| I reuse grocery bags   | 4.78          | 5.20  | 0.045             |
| I reuse gift wrappers for rewrapping or other uses                               | 4.19          | 4.74  | 0.015             |
| I throw rubbish according to types in the designated recycle bins whenever I can | 4.64          | 5.03  | 0.066             |
| I purchase energy-savings appliances whenever possible                           | 4.62          | 5.01  | 0.055             |

ANOVA results show that there are significant differences in mean values for the different faculties, with the mean value for applied science students being the highest (Table 4). This indicates that science students represented by the applied science faculty have the greatest conservation behavior than non-science students represented by respondents from law, hotel and catering and art and design faculties.

Table 4. ANOVA Test of Conservation Behavior by Respondents' Field of Study

| Faculty            | N   | Mean   | Std. Deviation | Significant level |
|--------------------|-----|--------|----------------|-------------------|
| Law                | 45  | 4.4548 | .73777         |                   |
| Applied Science    | 54  | 5.0210 | .67799         |                   |
| Hotel and Catering | 104 | 4.6564 | .76311         | 0.001             |
| Art and Design     | 45  | 4.8756 | .66082         |                   |
| Total              | 248 | 4.7390 | .74471         |                   |

## 5.0 Conclusion

This study sought to discover the pattern of sustainable consumption behaviour among university students in Malaysia. The results indicated that there were no significant differences

between male and female students and parents' highest education level with consumption behaviour. However, there is a significant difference between urban rural strata Cstudents+ background) and sustainable consumption behaviour of university students. The study also indicated that significant differences e'ist between faculties and their sustainable consumption behaviour. Applied science students exhibited the highest level of sustainable consumption behaviour as compared to other faculties such as Law, Hotel and Catering and Art and Design.

## References

Aini, M.S., Fakhrul'I-Razi, A., Laily, H.P. & Jariah, M. (2003). "Environmental Concerns, Knowledge and Practice Gap among Malaysian Teachers". *International Journal of Sustainability in HigherEducation*. 4(4), 305-313.

Aini, M.S., Nurizan, Y. & Fakhrul'l-Razi, A. (2007). Environmental Comprehension and Participation of Malaysian Secondary School Students. *Environmental Education Research*. 13(1), 17-31.

Aini, M.S., Fakhrul'l-Razi, A., Nurizan Yahaya (2007). "Environmental Comprehension and Participation of Malaysian Secondary School Students". *Environmental Education Research*. 13(1), 17-31.

Atiqul Haq, S. M., Vanwing, T. & Hens, L. (2010). Perception, Environmental Degradation and Family Size Preference: a Context of Developing Countries. *Journal of Sustainable Development*. 3 (4).

Booi-Chen, T. & Teck-Chai, L. (2009). Examining Sustainable Consumption Patterns of Young Consumers: Is There a Cause for Concern? *The Journal of International Social Research*. 2(9).

Bun Lee., E (2008). Environmental Attitude and Information Sources Among African American College Students. *The Journal of Environmental Education*. 40(1), 29-42.

Emanuel., R & Adams., J.N. (2011). College Students' Perceptions of Campus Sustainability. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*. 12(1), 79-92.

Guerin, D., Crete, J and Mercier, J. (2001). A Multilebel Analysis of the Determinants of Recycling Behavior in the European Countries. *Social Science Research*. 30, 195-218.

Nunally, J. C. (1978). Psychometric Theory. New York: Mc Graw-Hill Book Company.

Omran, A., Mahmood, A., Abdul Aziz, H. & Robinson, G. M. (2009). Investigating Households Attitude Toward Recycling of Solid Waste in Malaysia: A Case Study. *Int. J. Environ. Res.* 3(2), 275-288.

Sahin, H., & Erkal, S. (2010). The Attitudes of Middle School Students Towards the Environment. Social Behaviour and Personality. 38(8). 1061 - 1072.

Sidique, Shaufique Fahmi. (2008). *Analyses of Recycling Behavior, Recycling Demand and Effectiveness of Policies Promoting Recycling*. Unpublished PhD thesis, Michigan State University.

Vining, J., & Ebreo, A. (2002). Emerging theoretical and methodological perspectives on conservation behavior. In R. B. Bechtel & A. Churchman (Eds.), *Handbook of Environmental Psychology:* (541-558) New York: Wiley.

Wray-Lake, L., Flanagan, C. A. & Osgood, D. W. (2008). Examining Trends in Adolescent Environmental Attitudes, Beliefs, and Behaviors across Three Decades. Working Paper for Network on Transitions to Adulthood Research Network.