

# Illness Perception in Different Clinical Categories of Patients with Familial Hypercholesterolaemia

# Salmi Razali<sup>1,3</sup>, Zaliha Ismail<sup>2,4</sup>, Najib Abdullah<sup>3</sup>, Hapizah M Nawawi<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Psychiatry,
 <sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health Medicine,
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry and Mental Health, Hospital Sg Buloh,
 <sup>4</sup>Institute of Pathology, Laboratory and Forensic Medicine (I-PPerForM)
 Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA,
 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia

drsalmi@gmail.com, drzie65@gmail.com, muhdnajibabdullah@gmail.com, hapizah.nawawi@gmail.com

## **Abstract**

Understanding illness perception is crucial to ensure healthy lifestyles. However, it has been poorly explained to inform effective lifestyle modification of patients with Familial Hypercholesterolaemia (FH) Therefore, we described the illness perception of different clinical categories of FH patients (using the Dutch Lipid Clinic Criteria (DLCC) and investigate its association with sociodemographic and illness-related factors. Illness perception of FH patients varies according to the level of education and the presence of CVD. Patients with 'definite' FH have the highest emotional disturbances. These findings may help clinicians to improve the interventions suitable for FH patients.

Keywords: Familial hypercholesterolaemia; illness perception; DLCC; cardiovascular disease

eISSN 2514-7528© 2019. The Authors. Published for AMER ABRA cE-Bs by e-International Publishing House, Ltd., UK. This is an open-access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). Peer-review under responsibility of AMER (Association of Malaysian Environment-Behaviour Researchers), ABRA (Association of Behavioural Researchers on Asians) and cE-Bs (Centre for Environment-Behaviour Studies), Faculty of Architecture, Planning & Surveying, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia. DOI: https://doi.org/10.21834/jabs.v4i13.332

## 1.0 Introduction

National Health and Morbidity Survey (2015), indicated that 47.7% of the adults in Malaysia may have hypercholesterolaemia and the number is growing. Together with hypertension, diabetes, obesity and smoking, hypercholesterolemia increases the risk for Cardiovascular Diseases (CVDs); the leading causes of morbidity and mortality in this country. CVDs is preventable through a combination of lipid-lowering medications and healthy lifestyle (Hagger, Hardcastle, Kwok & Nawawi et al., 2018a).

Previously, one method of reducing unhealthy behaviour and encouraging healthy lifestyles was by changing the type of coping with the illness (Hsiao, Chang, & Chen, 2012). Later, since it has been shown that changing patients' illness perception leads to a better adherence to cholesterol-lowering medication (Senior, Marteau, & Weinman, 2004) and recovery following CVDs (Petrie, Cameron, Ellis, Buick, & Weinman, 2002), it would be better to modify patients' illness perceptions at the earlier stage rather than educating them with coping strategies at the later stage (Hsiao et al., 2012).

### 2.0 Literature Review

Hypercholesterolemia is caused by primary and secondary factors. While various secondary factors have been identified, including hypothyroidism, nephrotic syndrome, cholelithiasis, obesity and many others. One of the most important primary hypercholesterolaemia is Familial Hypercholesterolaemia (FH), the most common autosomal dominant genetic disorder in humans, linked to low-density lipoprotein receptor (LDLR) and apolipoprotein B100 genes mutation (Al-Khateeb et al., 2016; Azian et al., 2006). It is characterised by marked elevation of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), leading to increased risk of developing premature coronary artery disease (CAD).

Several clinical diagnostic criteria for FH are available, including the Dutch Lipid Clinic Criteria (DLCC)(WHO Report, 2013) and Simon Broome (SB) Registry (Scientific Steering Committee 1991, National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care and RCGP 2008). These clinical tools can predict the presence of mutations in FH. The DLCC is widely recommended in Europe and also being widely used worldwide (WHO Report, 2013). It is similar to the SB Register criteria but each criterion is given a weightage (Box 1).

How do individuals comprehend their illness? To answer this question, Leventhal et al. (1984) had come up with the self-regulation model. The symptoms and emotions felt by an individual after a diagnosis give effect on the perception of illness and one's subsequent coping behaviour (Leventhal et al., 1984). The study further suggests that situational stimuli such as symptoms of diseases create cognitive and emotional representations of the illness are vitals in determining the behaviours related to the illness. These are managed in three phases, specifically the portrayal of the health hazard, the actions undertaken in coping with it and finally the assessment of the effectiveness of these behaviours (Leventhal et al., 1984). To healthcare practitioners, the measurement of patient's illness perception is crucial to individually specify and determine their clinical management (Frich, Ose, Malterud, &

Fugelli, 2006). According to Morgan, Villiers-Tuthill, Barker, and McGee (2014), awareness and comprehension of patient's illness perception on the part of the doctor would help in ensuring that there is no irrelevant expectation from treatment.

To date, very little findings come from Malaysia and its Asian neighbours. This serves as a great obstacle for clinicians as without local evidence, they could not possibly provide comprehensive management of FH for the local population. Just of late have researchers from the Asia-Pacific Region and the Southern Hemisphere, including Malaysia, shown some interest in understanding FH and its correlates (Pang et al., 2017; Watts et al., 2016). This research work termed as 'Ten Countries Study' centres upon FH patients, delving further into areas such as illness perception, health literacy, diagnostic, epidemiological and service aspects, as well as physician practices of FH (Hagger, 2018b; Pang et al., 2017; Razak et al., 2016; Watts et al., 2016).

Realising the sparse in data locally on illness perceptions among different clinical categories of FH patients, and its contributing factors, this study aimed to i) identify the pattern of illness perception of different clinical categories of FH patients and ii) determine correlated factors for illness perceptions including the role of background sociodemography and FH illness-related factors. We hypothesised that different clinical categories of FH patients have various patterns of illness perception and those with high education and multiple complications would have acceptable illness perception.

# 3.0 Methodology

This was a cross-sectional study, using convenient sampling, collecting data at the Specialist Lipid and Coronary Risk Prevention Clinics in a Teaching Hospital in Malaysia. The patients diagnosed with FH according to DLCC and aged 18 years or more were enrolled. The DLCC is a validated set of criteria based on the patient's personal coronary heart disease history, patient's untreated LDL-c levels and physical signs such as tendon xanthomata or arcus cornealis before the age of 45 and family history of premature CVD in their first-degree relatives. Scores were given for each criterion and the participants were categorised as having 'definite', 'probable', or 'possible' FH. The participant with the total score of more than eight is considered as having 'definite' FH; a total score between six and eight indicates 'probable' FH, and the score between three and five is 'possible' FH (Box 1). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before being enrolled in this study. Institutional Research Ethical Committee approval [600-RMI (5/1/6)].

We invented a self-reported pro forma questionnaires to gather information on sociodemographic background and illness-related factors. Information on sociodemography includes data regarding gender, age, marital status, level of education and their total household income per month. Illness characteristics include the presence of CVDs, type of CVDs (including coronary heart disease, angina, stroke, atherosclerosis and peripheral vascular disease), treatment for FH, and risk factors for coronary artery disease (including smoking status, high blood pressure, depression and high stress).

Box 1. Dutch Lipid Clinic Criteria

Criteria Criteria	Points
Family History:	
A First degree relative with known premature (<55 years men; <	1
60 years women) coronary disease and vascular disease OR LDL-	_
c > 95 <sup>th</sup> percentile	2
B First degree relative with tendon xanthomata and/or	
arcuscornealis OR childhood (<18years) with LDL-c > 95 <sup>th</sup>	
percentile	
Clinical history:	•
A Patient with premature CAD (men < 55, women < 60 years)	2
B Patient with premature cerebral or PVD (men < 55, women < 60	1
years)	
Physical Examination: A Tendon xanthomas	6
7.1.0.1.0.1.2.1.0.1.0.0	4
B Premature arcus	4
Lab analysis A LDL – c > 8.5 mmol/L	0
1	8
B LDL- c 6.5 - 8.4 mmol/L	5 3
C LDL- c 5.0 - 6.4 mmol/L	٥ 1
D LDL – c 4.0 - 4.9 mmol/L	1
Functional PNA mutations	8
DNA mutations	Ø
Definite FH :> 8 points, Probable FH: 6-8 points, Possible FH: 3-5	

A revised illness perception questionnaire (IPQ-R) was used to measure participants' illness perception (Moss-Morris et al., 2002). There are three parts of the questionnaire. The first part asking participants about 'identity' and enquiring them about some potential physical symptoms of FH. The participants also have to respond Yes or No, whether they have ever encountered any of the symptoms as a consequence of their illness.

The second part of IPQ-R dealings with perceptions of the likely period of the illness such as lasting for a brief period or passes rapidly; enduring rather than short-lived; this is called the 'timeline' component of illness perception. The timeline 'cyclical' component, defines fluctuations of symptoms of illness either changes a countless deal from day to day; wax and wane in cycles or very erratic. In addition, the 'consequences' component measures one's thoughts about the illness severity and the possibility of effects on physical, social and psychological functioning. This part of the questionnaire also measures the 'personal control' component which indicates the 'treatment control' or the degree to which the patient thinks that their ailment is amenable to cure or control. Moreover, the 'illness coherence' component asks their degree of understanding of the illness such as whether they have a poor or strong understanding of the illness.

Finally, the 'emotional changes' components describe their emotional states after having the illness including feeling distress, scared, irritated, worry, anxious or dismayed with the illness. Participants were required to answer questions on a 5-point Likert-type scale (from disagree strongly to agree strongly).

Analyses used Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 24. The differences between score means of different domains were compared using Independent t-test. In addition, Mann Whitney test and Kruskal Wallis test were used to determine the possible associations between respondent characteristics and domains of illness perceptions. This project was approved by the Institutional Research Ethics committee (600-RMI (5/1/6).

## 4.0 Results

Hundred participants who have 'definite' (32%), 'probable' (58%) and 'possible' (10%) FH using DLCC were recruited in the study. They were majority female (63%) and mainly from a lower socioeconomic and 55% attained up to the secondary level of education with a total household income less than RM3000 a month. The participants were predominantly in the late forties (age mean±SD: 49.8±11.4 years) and ever married individuals (92%) (Table 1).

Of the total participants who have FH, 41% have CVDs; mainly coronary heart disease (n=35;85.4%), angina (n=8;19.5%), atherosclerosis (n=4;9.8%), stroke (n=4;9.8%) and one participant has peripheral arterial disease. About two-thirds (69%) of the participants received lipid-lowering agents. More than a third (40%) of the participants had hypertension, 13% were smokers, and 8% reported feeling stressed up and complained of feeling depressed. When the classification of FH is taking into account, percentages of illness related factors varies according to different clinical categories (Table 1).

# 4.1 Illness perceptions

More than 90% of the participants did not complain of most of the physical symptoms due to FH, except for fatigue (16%) and sleeping difficulties (12%). It was found that the rating of a number of symptoms that the patient sees as part of the illness or 'identity' element was significantly higher among those who were receiving treatment compared to those were not (z=-2.662,p=0.008)(There was no association between those who experience physical symptoms and DLCC FH criteria (Table 2 and Table 3).

Table 1: The sociodemographic and illness factors in relation to FH classification

	FH (	Classification r	າ( %)	
	Definite	Probable	Possible	P-value
Variable				
Age [mean(SD)] years	49.2(11.6)	47.5(15.8)	50.5(10.5)	0.704
Gender , n(%)				
Males	17(27.0)	10.0(15.9)	36(57.1)	0.027
Females	15(40.5)	0	22(59.5)	
Marital status				
Unmarried	3(37.5)	2(25.0)	3(37.5)	0.545

Ever married Education	29 (31.5)	8(8.7)	55(59.8)	
Pre-university and university	12(37.5)	4(8.3)	32 (66.7)	
Secondary school Primary and below	16(50.0) 4(12.5)	6(13.6) 0(0)	22(50.0) 4 (50.0)	
Illness-related factors Cardiovascular disease	, ,			
Yes No	13 (31.7) 19(32.2)	5 (12.2) 5 (8.5)	23 (56.1) 35 (59.3)	0.827
Types of CVD	19(32.2)	3 (0.3)	33 (33.3)	
Coronary heart disease Angina	12 (34.2) 3(30.0)	4(11.4) 2 (20.0)	19(54.2) 5(50.0)	0.850 0.536
Atherosclerosis Stroke	1(20.0) 1 (25.0)	1(20.0) 0	3(60.0) 3 (75.0)	0.682 0.709
Peripheral vascular disease	0	1(100)	0	0.011
Receiving treatment for FH				
Yes	25 (36.2)	5 (7.2)	39 (56.5)	0.221
Presence of risk factor Smoker	6(46.2)	2(15.4)	5(38.5)	0.308
High blood pressure	15(37.5)	4(10.0)	21(52.5)	0.613
Depression	4(50.0)	1(12.5)	3(37.5)	0.457
High stress	2(25.0)	0	6(75.0)	0.488

# 4.2 Sociodemography and illness perceptions

We found that FH patients who had successfully achieved at least secondary education had the highest score for 'treatment control' (median score=18.0; IQR=2.75) than others in different levels of education (Table 2). Also, participants who reached only primary level of education had the highest score for 'cyclical' element (median score=13; IQR=3.0) followed by those who achieved secondary education and then tertiary (or pre-university and university level of education). Other analyses were not significant.

# 4.3 Illness factors and illness perceptions

Illness perceptions between different groups of FH patients were different in terms of 'identity', 'cyclical' and 'emotional changes' (Refer Table 3). Participants who had CVDs reported higher scores in 'consequences' elements than those who did not have CVDs (t=0.385,p<0.001). This group of FH patients also reported significantly reporting that their illness was 'cyclical' in nature higher than those without CVDs (t=-3.047;p=0.003). They also significantly had a higher score in 'emotional changes' than those without CVDs (t=-2.409;p=0.018). Also, FH patients with multiple risk factors also have significantly higher 'cyclical' element than those with only a single risk factor (z=-1.847;p=0.038). There was no difference in terms of 'personal control', 'treatment control' and 'illness coherence' between different groups of illness factors

(Table 3).

### 5.0 Discussion

Prevention of CVDs should begin as early as possible to minimise complications and reduce the substantial price hikes of future healthcare associated with the disease. However, this study shows that FH patients still have poor insight on the importance of preventive measures. This is reflected when only FH patients who had several risk factors and those who had developed multiple types of CVDs were more concerned with their illness than those with FH only. Most of them described the progression of their ailment as wax and wane or 'cyclical' in nature instead of understood that FH is a genetic condition that is long-lasting. In a qualitative study, a similar finding was found that most FH patients were not focused on the heredity aspects of the illness (Weiner & Durrrington, 2018). Furthermore, regardless of having the complications, these groups of FH patients had lack of ability to regulate and had a poor understanding of their illness, they also lack belief in the effectiveness of their treatment as illustrated in the IPQ-R components; 'personal control', 'treatment control' and 'illness coherence'. These findings are similar to those found in patients with coronary heart disease (Foxwell, Morley, & Frizelle, 2013). Hence, these findings call for more health education for FH patients to improve their knowledge and understanding of the course of illness and its treatment.

We found the knowledge and insight on the complications of their disease occurred only when they had already developed CVDs. It is easy to speculate that this happens because of the lack of distinctive signs and symptoms of FH at the initial phase of the illness. Most often, apart from the elevated level of cholesterol and the presence of lipid stigmata, only subtle clinical presentations seen and detected by the clinicians, causing early detection is challenging (Alicezah, Rahman, & Koshy, 2014). It may be possible that if insight and understanding of the illness among FH patients can be imparted at the early period of the disease, the overall clinical consequence can be further improved.

This study indicated that many FH patients did not describe the 'consequences' or the possibility of FH effecting their physical, social and psychological functioning. They only realised that FH causes a variety of consequences when the complication set in. The findings were similar in other medical circumstances which have trifling signs and symptoms at the initial stage of illness such as hypertension and diabetes mellitus (Petriček et al., 2009; Ross, Walker, & MacLeod, 2004). This pattern of illness perception has been explained by the self-regulation model; that the presence of symptoms becomes the threat or stimulus, triggering further subsequent behaviour such as coping, planning and appraisal of how to take the medication appropriately according to prescription and engaging with lifestyle modification (Leventhal et al., 1984). Preferably, regulating FH should begin even before the appearance of symptoms and complication of illness. The complexity of behaviours in response to illness have encouraged a few scholars to propose self-regulation model, social self-efficacy, health literacy, individual attitudes, subjective norms and perceived behavioural control (Hagger et

al., 2016; Hagger et al., 2018).

	Age: Med	Age: Median (IQR)		Gender: Median (IQR)	dian (IQR)		Marital Status: Median (IQR)	Median (IQR)	
Mness perception	<45	245	an-value	Male	Female	ep-value	Ever married	Unmarried	sp-value
Identity	0(1.0)	0 (1:00)	0.274	0 (1.00)	0 (2.00)	0.241	0 (1.00)	0.00) 0	0.113
Timeline	17(7.0)	16(3.64)	0.222	16.3(3.88)	16.2 (3.71)	956.0	16.2(4.22)	16.8(3.79)	0.697
Cyclical	12(2.8)	12(2.00)	0.358	11.7(2.30)	11.3(2.74)	0.508	11.5(2.37)	11.5(3.51)	0.966
Consequences	17(3.9)	15(2.97)	0.265	15.7(3.26)	15.7(3.76)	0.871	(5.7(3.40)	16.6(3.99)	0.510
Personal control	20(5.5)	20(2.39)	0.940	19.6(2.68)	19.7(3.00)	0.830	19.8(1.53)	18.0(2.82)	0.108
Treatment control	17(2.5)	18(2.00)	0.481	17.2(2.29)	17.9(2.48)	0.213	17.5(2.41)	16.7(1.75)	0.389
Illness coherence	14(3.8)	16(3.36)	0.072	14.6(3.44)	15.3(3.39)	0.375	14.9(3.30)	14.0(5.29)	0.578
Emotional changes	18(4.0)	13(3.71)	0.460	14.9(4.14)	14.6(4.30)	0.698	14.8(4.12)	15.0(5.07)	0.904
	Education	Educational Level: Median (IQR)	edian (IQR)			Total House	Total Household Income (RM) (Median (IQR)	(Median (IQR)	
Illness perception	Primary 2	Primary and below	Secondary	Tertiany	anjev-de	₹3000	3001-5000	>5000	anjev-de
Identity	0(0.75)		0(1.00)	(52'0)0	0.081	0(1.00)	0(0.00)	(96:0)0	0.225
Timeline	21.0(0.00)		15.0(5.25)	17.0(4.08)	0.080	16 (5.00)	4.1(6.00)	16(7.75)	0.885
Cyclical	13(3.00)		12.0(3.00)	11.0(2.70)	0.004	12.5(3.00)	12(2.00)	11.5(2.00)	0.173
Consequences	14.0(0.00)		15.0(5.50)	15.0(4.00)	0.459	15.5(3.28)	14(5.00)	15(4.50)	0.111
Personal control	20:0(0:00)	•	19.5(4.00)	20.0(2.69)	0.954	19 (4.25)	20(4.50)	20(2.94)	0.136
Treatment control	17.0(2.65)	ينم	18.0(2.75)	16.0(3.00)	*800.0	17(2.28)	18(1.71)	17(2.02)	0.724
Illness coherence	18.0(0.00)	1	15(6.00)	16.0(5.00)	0.592	15(6.25)	16(3.50)	16(6.50)	0.137
Emotional changes	12/3 503		16 5/5 001	14 0/7 001	0 344	16/6 00	137.251	113 5/6 5/1	0.086

Table 3: Relationship between illness perception and different type of FH

		-	(Median (IQR)			
Illness perception	Definite	Probable	Possible	P- value	- Definity Possible	"Definity Probable
Identity	1.0(2.0)	1.8(0.0)	0(1.0)	0.012	p=0.012, z=2.499	p=0.032, z=2.142
Timeline	15.0(4.8)	17.0(4.8)	16.0(5.0)	0.361		100 100
Cyclical	13.0(1.5)	120(3.5)	11.0(3.0)	0.011	p=0.006, z=2.759	p=0.034, z=2.123
Consequences	16.0(5.8)	16.5(4.5)	14.0(4.3)	0.075		
Personal control	20.0(4.5)	18.0(2.5)	19.0(4.0)	0.097		
Treatment control	18.0(4.0)	(7.0(3.5)	18.0(2.0)	0.336		
Illness coherence	(6.0(4.5)	15.0(5.8)	15.0(5.0)	0.985		
Emotional changes	17.0(4.8)	16.0(8.0)	13.0(6.0)	0.041	p=0.013, z=2.498	

\*Kouskal Wallis test, Additional test \*\*Mann Whitney test of individual paired.

Suoi
percept
Illness p
ed factors an
無
ilness-rela
between
given
Relation
e 4: F
電

	Pre	resence of CVDs Mean (SD)		₽¥.	Type of CVDs Median (IQR)		Receiv	Receive FH Treatment Median (IQR)	ent	E 25	Risk Factors Median (IQR)	
Illness perception	CVD(+)	(-) dvo	رة value	Single	Multiple	np value	Receive	Did not Receive	op Value	Single	Multiple	p value
Identity	1.0(1.56)	0.6(1.29)	0.098	0.6 (1.07)	1.3(1.92)	0.274	0(1.57)	0(0.78)	0.008	0.3(0.58)	0.9(1.74)	0.085
Timeline	17.2(3.81)	15.6(3.70)	0.067	16.7(3.02)	17.5(4.01)	0.534	(6.7(4.14)	15.4(2.97)	0.107	16.9(3.27)	17.7(4.42)	0.438
Cyclical	124(2.18)	10.9(2.49)	0.003	11.3(2.73)	12.5(1.70)	0.065	11.6(2.62)	11.3(2.10)	0.561	11.7(1.88)	126(1.77)	0.038
Consequence	(7.3(3.50)	14.7(2.98)	<0.001	(5.3(3.88)	(7.0(3.25)	0.059	16.0(3.65)	15.2(2.90)	0.278	16.2(3.34)	16.6(3.03)	0.075
Personal control	20.0(2.25)	19.4(3.11)	0.284	20.4(2.57)	19.7(2.32)	0.326	19.9(2.61)	19.0(3.08)	0.168	20.1(2.50)	20.0(2.36)	0.294
Treatment control	17.3(1.97)	17.6(2.63)	0.525	17.9(2.50)	17.0(1.96)	0.105	17.6(2.39)	17.3(2.36)	0.582	16.8(2.10)	16.6(1.83)	0.128
Illness coherence	14.4(3.56)	15.1(3.32)	0.329	15.8(2.70)	14.3(4.04)	0.238	15.2(3.58)	14.2(3.03)	0.216	15.6(2.63)	14.7(4.45)	0.112
Emotional changes	16.1(4.21)	14.0(3.98)	0.018	14.4(4.41)	(5.9(3.99)	0.224	15.1(4.48)	14.3(3.53)	0.413	15.4(4.17)	15.4(3.77)	0.168

Independent t test, and Mann Whitney test

FH patients with CVDs in this study reported significant emotional instabilities compared to those without CVDs. Furthermore, a high percentage of FH patients believed that having stress as part of the contributing factors for their disease. This is not a new finding. Patients with CVDs have been described by many scholars before to have psychological disorders such as chronic distress, depression, anxiety and dementia (Cohen, Edmondson, & Kronish, 2015). Interestingly, we have added to this understanding that those who had been diagnosed with 'definite' FH suffered the most emotional changes. Presence of emotional instabilities may disturb routine activities, disease progression, quality of life, functions and mortality of patient with CVDs (Foxwell et al., 2013). Thus, to successfully manage FH patients, those with psychological conflicts should be carefully monitored and managed by using holistic interventions comprise effective psychotropic medications in combination with caring and collective care from various disciplines in medicine.

#### 6.0 Conclusion

Management of FH patients is not merely prescribing the lipid-lowering medications, giving advice for weight management and suggesting a healthy balanced diet to prevent the complications; understanding the perception of the patients is vital so that they adhere to the treatment plan. In order to do so, the foremost crucial step is to understand their illness perception that determines the subsequent lifestyle behaviours. Informed by the findings from our study, we understood that FH patients have gained insight on their illness only when the symptoms and complications of the disease have set in and three elements (level of education, the presence of CVD and level of emotional disturbances) play vital roles in how they perceived their illness. Hence, clinicians should provide early health education (in particular for those with low education) to enhance their understanding of the illness as early as possible. Furthermore, concerted effort with mental health professionals is crucial to address their emotional distress. We would like to highlight that the study is restricted with small sample size and we are aware that many other cultural beliefs that could influence their illness perceptions.

# Acknowledgement

This study was funded by UiTM MITRA Grant [grant code: 600-IRMI/MYRA 5/3/MITRA (003/2017)-2)] and Pfizer International 'Asia Pacific Translational Research for Improving the care of FH 10 Countries Study - Malaysian substudy' Grant [100-RMI/INT 16-/6/2(3/2015)] awarded to the corresponding author. We would like to thank Professor Gerald Watts, the Chief Investigator of the FH Ten Countries Study, for facilitating the study; and Professor Martin Hagger from Curtin University, for providing the modified IPQ-R questionnaire.

## References

Al-Khateeb, A., Hamzan, N. S., Razali, R., Froemming, G. A., Rahman, T., Peng, H. B., & Nawawi, H. (2016). Genetic Study of Low-Density Lipoprotein Receptor Gene and Apolipoprotein B-100 Gene among Malaysian Patients with Familial Hypercholesterolaemia. *International Archives of Medicine*, 9.

Azian, M., Hapizah, M., Khalid, B., Khalid, Y., Rosli, A., & Jamal, R. (2006). Use of the denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) method for mutational screening of patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH) and Familial defective apolipoprotein B100 (FDB). *The Malaysian Journal of Pathology*, 28(1), 7-15.

Cohen, B. E., Edmondson, D., & Kronish, I. M. (2015). State of the art review: depression, stress, anxiety, and cardiovascular disease. *American Journal of Hypertension*. 28(11), 1295-1302.

Foxwell, R., Morley, C., & Frizelle, D. (2013). Illness perceptions, mood and quality of life: a systematic review of coronary heart disease patients. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 75(3), 211-222.

Frich, J. C., Ose, L., Malterud, K., & Fugelli, P. (2006). Perceived vulnerability to heart disease in patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia: a qualitative interview study. *The Annals of Family Medicine*, 4(3), 198-204.

Hagger, M.S., Hardcastle, S.J., Hu M, Kwok, S, Lin J, Nawawi, H.M., Pang, J, Santos, R.D., Soran, H., Su TC, Tomlinson, B, Watts, G.F. (2018a) Effects of Medication, Treatment, and Behavioral Beliefs on Intentions to Take Medication in Patients with Familial Hypercholesterolemia. Atherosclerosis for the FH special issue, *Atherosclerosis*; 277: 493-501.

Hagger, M.S., Hardcastle, S.J., Hu M, Kwok, S, Lin J, Nawawi, H.M., Pang, J, Santos, R.D., Soran, H., Su TC, Tomlinson, B, Watts, G.F. (2018b) Health literacy in familial hypercholesterolemia: A cross-national study. *European Journal of Preventive Cardiology:* DOI: 10.1177/2047487318766954

Hagger, M. S., Hardcastle, S. J., Hingley, C., Strickland, E., Pang, J., & Watts, G. F. (2016). Predicting self-management behaviours in familial hypercholesterolaemia using an integrated theoretical model: The impact of beliefs about illnesses and beliefs about behaviours. *International Journal of Behavioral Medicine*, 23(3), 282-294.

Hsiao, C.-Y., Chang, C., & Chen, C.-D. (2012). An investigation of illness perception and adherence among hypertensive patients. *The Kaohsiung Journal of Medical Sciences*, 28(8), 442-447.

Institute for Public Health (IPH). (2015). National Health and Morbidity Survey 2015 (NHMS 2015). Vol. II: Non-Communicable Diseases, Risk Factors & Other Health Problems; 2015.

Leventhal, H., Nerenz, D. R., & Purse, J. (1984). *Illness representations and coping with health threats*: Baum, A., Taylor, S.E. and Singer, J.E. Eds., Handbook of Psychology and Health, Volume IV: Social Psychological Aspects of Health, Erlbaum, Hillsdale, NJ, 219-252.

Alicezah M.K., Rahman, M.T. & Koshy, M. (2014). Homozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia. *The Malaysian Journal of Pathology*, 36(2), 131.

Morgan, K., Villiers-Tuthill, A., Barker, M., & McGee, H. (2014). The contribution of illness perception to psychological distress in heart failure patients. *BMC Psychology*, 2(1), 50.

Moss-Morris, R., Weinman, J., Petrie, K., Horne, R., Cameron, L., & Buick, D. (2002). The revised illness perception questionnaire (IPQ-R). *Psychology and Health*, 17(1), 1-16.

National Collaborating Centre for Primary Care and Royal College of General Practitioners. Identification and management of Familial hypercholesterolaemia (FH). London, UK; Royal College of General Practitioners, 2008. www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/live/12048/41700/41700.pdf. Accessed June 12, 2013

Pang, J., Hu, M., Lin, J., Miida, T., Nawawi, H. M., Park, J. E., . . . Gonzalez-Santos, L. E. (2017). An enquiry based on a standardised questionnaire into knowledge, awareness and preferences concerning the care of familial hypercholesterolaemia among primary care physicians in the Asia-Pacific region: the 'Ten Countries Study'. *BMJ Open*, 7(10), e017817.

Petriček, G., Vrcić-Keglević, M., Vuletić, G., Cerovečki, V., Ožvačić, Z., & Murgić, L. (2009). Illness perception and cardiovascular risk factors in patients with type 2 diabetes: cross-sectional questionnaire study. *Croatian Medical Journal*, 50(6), 583-593.

Petrie, K. J., Cameron, L. D., Ellis, C. J., Buick, D., & Weinman, J. (2002). Changing illness perceptions after myocardial infarction: an early intervention randomized controlled trial. *Psychosomatic Medicine*, 64(4), 580-586.

Razak, S. A., Rahmat, R., Kasim, N. M., Rahman, T. A., Muid, S., Nasir, N. M., . . . Nawawi, H. (2016). Diagnostic performance of various familial hypercholesterolaemia criteria compared to the Dutch lipid clinic criteria in an Asian population. *Atherosclerosis*. 252, e27-e28.

Ross, S., Walker, A., & MacLeod, M. J. (2004). Patient compliance in hypertension: the role of illness perceptions and treatment beliefs. *Journal of Human Hypertension*. 18(9), 607.

Scientific Steering Committee on behalf of the Simon Broome Register Group. Risk of fatal coronary heart disease in Familial Hypercholesterolaemia. BMJ 1991;303:893-896

Senior, V., Marteau, T., & Weinman, J. (2004). Genetic Risk Assessment for FHTSG. Self-reported adherence to cholesterol-lowering medication in patients with familial hypercholesterolaemia: The role of illness perceptions. *Cardiovasc Drugs Ther*, 18, 475-481.

Watts, G. F., Ding, P. Y., George, P., Hagger, M. S., Hu, M., Lin, J., . . . Nawawi, H. M. (2016). Translational research for improving the care of familial hypercholesterolaemia: the 'ten countries study' and beyond. *Journal of Atherosclerosis and Thrombosis*, 23(8), 891-900.

Weiner K. & Durrington P.N.(2008). Patients' Understandings and Experiences of Familial Hypercholesterolemia, Public Health Genomics, 11 (5), 273-282. doi: 10.1159/000121398

Witteman, C., Bolks, L., & Hutschemaekers, G. (2011). Development of the illness perception questionnaire mental health. *Journal of Mental Health*, 20(2), 115-125.

World Health Organisation. Report of a Second WHO Consultation. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 1999. whqlibdoc.who.int/hg/ 1999/ WHO\_HGN\_FH\_CONS\_99.2pdf. Accessed June 12, 2013